

4/9/2004

FORM PTO-1449 TO BE FILED WITH THE
INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

U.S. Department of Commerce
Patent and Trademark Office

Docket No.: RPS6098

Serial No.: 10/015,974

Tanner et al.

Applicant

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT

November 2, 2001

Filing Date

3721

Group Art Unit

Not assigned

Examiner's Name

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials	Document No.	Date	Inventor(s)	Class/Sub-class
<i>JS</i>	1,970,396	Aug. 14, 1934	R.P. Scherer	18-21
<i>JS</i>	2,288,327	Jun. 30, 1942	R.P. Scherer	18-21
<i>JS</i>	2,774,988	Dec. 25, 1956	F.E. Stirn	18-15
<i>JS</i>	2,775,257	Dec. 25, 1956	F.E. Stirn et al.	137-341
<i>JS</i>	4,028,024	Jun. 7, 1997	Moreland	425/133.1
<i>JS</i>	4,817,367	Apr. 4, 1989	Ishikawa et al.	53/454
<i>JS</i>	4,940,499	Jul. 10, 1990	Lebrun et al.	156/69
<i>JS</i>	5,146,730	Sept. 15, 1992	Sadek et al.	53/454
<i>JS</i>	5,246,635	Sept. 21, 1993	Ratko et al.	264/4
<i>JS</i>	5,735,105	Apr. 7, 1998	Stroud et al.	53/411
<i>JS</i>	5,740,660	Apr. 21, 1998	Rowe	53/454
<i>JS</i>	5,761,886	Jun 9, 1998	Parkhideh	53/454
<i>JS</i>	6,022,499	Feb. 8, 2000	Schurig et al.	264/4
<i>JS</i>	6,217,902	Apr. 17, 2001	Tanner et al.	424/456

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner's Initials	Document No.	Publish Date	Applicant(s)	Country
<i>JS</i>	WO 01/03677	01/18/01	R.P. Scherer Technologies, Inc.	PCT
<i>JS</i>	WO 94/13249	06/23/94	R.P. Scherer Corporation	PCT

Joseph Sadek Sob

12/29/04

4/9/2004

OTHER DOCUMENTS

1. ~~"The Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy" (Lachman, Liberman and Kanig), 3d. edition, published by Lee & Febiger~~

2. "Softgel (Soft Gelatin Capsule) Update, Robert F. Jimerson, Reprinted from *Drug Development and Industrial Pharmacy* (Interphex '86 Conference) Vol. 12, No. 8 & 9, 1986.

Examiner: Joseph Sall Sile Date Considered: 12/29/04

Attn Examiner: Please initial if citation considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP §609. Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to Applicant.

The identification of any document herein is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being an admission that each such document, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given document may have a later effective date than at first seems apparent or the document may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any document is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.